The Efficient Solubilization and Refolding of Recombinant Organophosphorus Hydrolysases Inclusion Bodies Produced in Escherichia coli
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Abstract

Introduction: Organophosphorus hydrolase (OPH) is an enzyme that can degrade organophosphorus compounds in pesticides. High expression levels of OPH in Escherichia coli lead to form inclusion body in cytoplasmic space which is an inactive form of protein and needs a solubilizing and refolding process. The aim of this study was to compare different methods for solubilization and refolding of recombinant OPH expressed in E. coli. Materials and Methods: OPH was expressed in E. coli and purified by the Ni-NTA column. The refolding efficiency of this protein was assessed by 4 strategies: dialysis, rapid dilution, on column and combination of rapid dilution and dialysis. In each case, the refolding efficiency was evaluated by SDS-PAGE analysis and enzyme activity assay and was compared to find the best procedure. Results: The refolding efficiency of these 4 strategies was estimated at about 12%, 10%, 14% and 50% for on column, rapid dilution, dialysis and combination of rapid dilution and dialysis, respectively. Results showed that during the refolding process, most proteins did not reach the correct structure and aggregated again while the combination of 2 methods, rapid dilution and dialysis provided an appropriate procedure to refold. Conclusions: The combination of rapid dilution and dialysis is an efficient method for refolding OPH. The efficacy of this method for refolding other recombinant proteins can be further investigated.
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Introduction

Escherichia coli is a known host to produce recombinant proteins without post translational modification. Although this system is the facile and rapid model for genetic engineering and biotechnological purposes, the accumulation and aggregation of proteins owing to high level expression is its momentous disadvantage.1,2 After protein aggregations, named inclusion bodies, the biological activity of recombinant proteins loses therefore, it is necessary to restore the bioactivity of expressed proteins via solubilization and refolding.3-5 Various denaturant compounds including guanidine hydrochloride and urea along with β-mercaptoethanol and other reducing materials are routinely applied to solubilize inclusion bodies and then refold the protein by removal denaturant compounds.6-8 In spite of the described disadvantage, producing inclusion bodies have advantages in research and industrial fields including high level expression, ease of purification, decrease of degradation due to resistance to enzymatic effects and so on.9 However, efficient protocols and procedures for recovery of high yield bioactive recombinant proteins are needed. Refolding is still the difficult step of purification. Three procedures are commonly used to refold the inclusion bodies; dialysis, dilution and column. Although all three methods have several advantages and disadvantages, but without going into details of each, rapid dilution would be recommended.7 Rapid dilution is the facile to do and immediately comes to the final refolding step. Furthermore, it is a reproducible method.10-12 Dialysis, as a refolding protocol, has some drawbacks such
as slow rates of denaturant removal and the presence of aggregations because of stable intermediates in prolonged times. To enhance the quantity of bioactive proteins from inclusion body, discrepant dialysis and dilution procedure were introduced along with the use of additives. L-arginine, urea (1–2 M), guanidine hydrochloride and detergents are the most routinely used additives. Recently, efforts have been made to improve high-throughput refolding methods for achieving high yield of refold bioactive proteins.

The OPH is the homodimer hydrolase enzyme with molecule weight of 72 kDa that is produced by Pseudomonas and Flavobacterium bacterium. This enzyme degrades the wide range of organophosphorus compounds. This enzyme is the metalloprotein and its active site contains 2 cations. The presence of cations particularly central Zn$^{2+}$ and Co$^{2+}$ causes augment stability and catalytic activity of enzyme, respectively. The OPH can hydrolyze phosphodiester, phosphonofluoride, phosphorothioate and phosphoroamidocyanide bonds in various substrates such as paraoxon, sarin, soman, VX, P-CN and tabun. To provide a high quantity of OPH, several cloning systems including E. coli, Flavobacterium, Pichia pastoris, Streptomyces lividans, insect cells Serratia, Enterobacter, Burkholderia, Flavobacterium and Pseudomonas diminuta were applied to express this enzyme. In addition, several attempts were made to improve the catalytic activity of the recombinant enzyme. Regarding the different impacts of refolding methods and additives on the renaturing and folding proteins, the current study assessed the discrepant methods and compounds for solubilization and refolding of recombinant OPH.

Materials and Methods

Culture and Protein Overexpression

To produce the OPH enzyme, the plasmid pET32a containing the oph gene was transformed into E. coli Rosetta-gami. A single colony was selected and inoculated into 5 mL Luria Bertani broth (LB) (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) containing 100 mg/mL ampicillin. After being shaken overnight with a culture tube at 37°C at 200 rpm, 1% of primary inoculum was added to 1 L fresh LB broth (amp+) and was grown at 37°C with vigorous shaking until the optical density of culture medium at 600 nm reached to 0.8. After on, 0.5 mM Isopropylb-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) was added to the culture medium and incubated under similar conditions for 12 hours.

Inclusion Body Isolation and Solubilization

To provide the inclusion bodies, bacterial cells were sonicated in resuspension buffer containing 50 mM Tris/pH 8.0/1 mM EDTA/10% Glycerol, 200 mM PMSF, 10 times with 30-second pulses on ice, and centrifuged at 9000×g for 30 minutes at 4°C. The inclusion body pellet was washed three times with washing buffer (RNase A, 50 mM PBS/pH 7.4/1 mM EDTA) and further with buffer containing 2 M urea and then centrifuged at 9000×g for 30 minutes. Next, the lysis buffer A (20 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.0, 10 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride, 0.1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM 2-mercaptoethanol) was added to pellet and was centrifuged at 47000×g for 1 hour.

Purification via Ni–NTA Affinity Chromatography

One milliliter of Ni–NTA resin (Qiagen, Chatsworth, CA) was packed into a syringe under gravity and washed and equilibrated in 3 mL deionized water followed by 3 mL binding buffer (5 mM imidazole, 0.5 M NaCl, 20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.9) containing 6 M urea. Pellet from a 250 mL culture were suspended in 40 mL binding buffer. Solubilized inclusion bodies were filtered through a 0.45-mm membrane and applied to the Ni–NTA column at room temperature. The column was washed with 10 volume of binding buffer containing 6 M urea and 6 volume of wash buffer (20 mM imidazole, 0.5 M NaCl, 20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.9) containing 6 M urea. The bound protein was eluted with 500 mM imidazole, 0.5 M NaCl, 20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.9) containing 6 M urea. One-milliliter fractions were collected and monitored by protein dye-binding assay.

Refolding

To select the best method for protein refolding, 4 procedures including refolding on column, rapid dilution, dialysis and combination of dialysis and dilution were performed.

Refolding on Column

In this procedure, isolation and refolding was performed simultaneously. For this purpose, the column was washed with urea gradient from 8 to 0 with solution containing 20 mM Tris–HCl,pH 8; 100 mM NaHPO4, 20mM imidazole, 1 mM Oxidized glutathione, 5mM reduced glutathione and 50 mM NaCl. At the final step, the purification of protein was done using a solution containing 150 and 200 mM imidazole without urea. To remove additional compounds, refolded proteins were dialyzed with 20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8. Finally, the dialyzed proteins were centrifuged at 12000 rpm for 15 minutes.

Refolding via Dialysis

To perform this procedure, the isolated proteins were poured to a dialysis bag with cutoff of 12 kDa and were then transferred to the refolding solution (50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM oxidized glutathione and 5 mM Reduced glutathione) and dialyzed in 5 steps with urea gradient including 7, 5, 3, 2 and 0M. Each step was done for 24 hours at 4°C on magnet stirrer. After on, the dialyzed proteins were centrifuged at 12000 rpm for 15 minutes.

Refolding via Rapid Dilution

In this procedure, purified proteins were added to high amounts of buffer (1M urea, 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8, 50 mM NaCl, 5% Glycerol, 0.5M L-arginin, 1 mM oxidized glutathione and 5 mM Reduced glutathione). The presence of urea and other compounds prevent rapid and inappropriate folding and precipitation of proteins.

Refolding via Combination of Dialysis and Dilution

This method, which is in fact a modified method and has
been used for the first time in this study, is a combination of 2 methods; dialysis and rapid dilution. For this purpose, the isolated proteins were poured to a dialysis bag with cutoff of 12 kDa and were then dialyzed in the refolding solution for 48 hours at 4˚C on magnet stirrer. Then, the dialyzed proteins were centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 15 minutes. To remove additional components of refold protein solution, the samples were dialyzed in solution containing buffer (1 M urea, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8 and 5% glycerol) for 12 hours.

Evaluation of Refolding Products

**SDS-PAGE analysis**
To visualize the purified proteins from refolding methods and evaluate the presence of multimers, SDS-PAGE electrophoresis was done. The purified proteins were run on 10% polyacrylamide gel and then were stained by dye containing 0.1% Coomassie Brilliant Blue and 12.5% trichloroacetic acid.

Evaluation of Specific Activity
To determine the percentage of enzymatic activity of refolded proteins, the specific activity was measured. Briefly, 40 µL of each refolded protein, 140 µL of Tris--HCl buffer and 20 µL of 20 mM paraoxon (in 20% deionized water) were mixed. After 10 minutes incubation at 37°C, 100 µL of each reaction reagent was transferred to 96 well plate and the generation of ρ–Nitrophenol was recorded by assessing optical density at 405 nm by a spectrophotometer device. Enzyme activity was measured as one micromole of paraoxon hydrolyzed to ρ–Nitrophenol per minute, per milliliter.

Results

**Protein Expression and Purification**
In spite of the optimizing conditions of time, temperature, and IPTG concentration, most proteins were in the insoluble fraction (Figure 1), so, denaturation refolding was recommended. The purified OPHs were observed as single bands on SDS–PAGE and the molecular mass was estimated as ~47 kDa (Figure 1).

**Refolding Methods**
In order to select the best procedure for refolding inclusion bodies, 4 methods including dialysis, dilution, Ni+ chromatography column and new modified method comprising dialysis and dilution were compared. Findings revealed that the modified method was the most effective procedure to refold the proteins of inclusion bodies.

**Refolding via Ni+ Chromatography Column**
This method is a rapid and affordable owing to simultaneous isolation and refolding. In the current study, the proteins were eluted as a precipitated format. The protein concentration was 500 and 60 µg before and after isolation and refolding, respectively (Figure 2). The efficiency was 12%.

**Refolding via Rapid Dilution**
In this method, although less time is needed, the protein concentration is very low, which causes problems in experiments with high protein concentrations. By this protocol, most proteins were approximately soluble after refolding and centrifugation, but the concentration was very dilute due to the high volume of buffer. The concentration was 50 µg/mL being approximately one tenth of the initial concentration (500 µg/mL) (Figure 3). The efficiency was also 14%.

**Refolding via Dialysis**
This method is the most common protocol, however it is extremely time consuming. In addition, more protein was precipitated in the final stage. As the concentration of urea was 2 M, the protein was precipitated, although the protein concentration was reduced to about half (300 µg/mL) (Figure 4). After removing urea, the concentration of refolded proteins was about 40 µg/mL. The efficiency was also 14%.

**Refolding via Combination of Dialysis and Dilution**
In this method, the dilution buffer and dialysis bag were utilized. The disadvantages of both methods, which included being time consuming and having a reduced protein concentration, were eliminated. At the end and after

---

**Figure 1.** The Purified Recombinant OPH (~47kDa) by Ni+ Chromatography Column. 1: bacterial pellet; 2: protein marker; 3: uninduced protein and 4: purified protein induced by IPTG.

**Figure 2.** The purified recombinant OPH refolded by Ni+ chromatography column. 1: protein sample before refolding; 2: protein sample after refolding; 3: protein marker.
centrifugation, the concentration of refolded protein was about 300 μg/mL (Figure 5). The initial concentration of protein was about 500 μg/mL. The efficiency of this method was more than 50% (Figure 6).

Activity Measurements

Although the relative efficiency of each of these methods is measured by calculating the activity of the enzyme, the purpose of this study was to design an optimal refolding method.

All of the refolded samples were able to degrade paraoxon as a specific substrate. Enzyme activity is calculated based on the paraoxon degradation ability as a specific substrate and p-nitro phenol liberation using spectrophotometer. Observations of the activity was indicated the appropriate enzyme refolding.

Discussion

The expression of recombinant proteins as the inclusion bodies is the most cost-effective method to produce high level initial proteins. Inclusion bodies isolation in the form of denatured proteins is easy but must refold to bioactive forms. It is confirmed that refolding recombinant proteins from inclusion bodies is the momentous step to effect the product yield. In the routine protocol used in laboratories, the final quantity of bioactive products is very low after refolding.7,16 Therefore, designing and improving the refolding method with the high output is necessary for increasing product recovery. In the current study, the OPH enzyme was cloned and expressed in E. coli in the form of inclusion bodies, solubilized and purified by Ni–NTA affinity chromatography. To optimize the refolding procedure for dimer proteins, 4 different methods including dialysis, dilution, Ni+ chromatography column and new modified method comprising dialysis and dilution, were performed and compared. For the first time, the modified method combining dialysis and dilution to recovery about 60% bioactive refolded proteins from solubilized proteins was used in this study.

It is confirmed that the presence of contaminants such as polypeptide, phospholipid, and bacterial plasmid with the inclusion bodies effects the yield of refolding.7 In a study performed by Maachupalli-Reddy et al which examined the impact of contaminants, some actually, the contamination resulted in a higher aggregation and reduction of refolded yield.17 In another study, it was observed that reducing and purification of recombinant proteins using reversed-phase chromatography could be useful to substantially augment the quantity of refolded products.18 Furthermore, Babbitt et al reported a 100 fold enhancement in the amount of refolded products after eliminating the cell wall contamination by washing with detergents.19 In addition, detergent washing is able to increase the yield, in order to remove cell debris from inclusion bodies.20 Generally, each method that could remove or decrease any type of contaminants both with lighter or
higher weight and density such as acid nucleic, proteins, cell wall compounds, lipids, outer membrane vesicle, cell debris and so on, enhances the yield of refolded recombinant proteins.\textsuperscript{21} If this method is accessible, facile and affordable, it is useful in all laboratories and for all researchers. The modified method introduced here is the facile protocol to remove the contaminants with the available simple equipment. Moreover, dilution similar column-based methods is used to achieve refolded protein. Dilution is an easy procedure, is facile and also appropriate for sieving redox substances and additives. For example, oxidative chromatography causes to increase the yield of refolded proteins along with combination of renaturing and separation and steps but this column is not cost-effective.\textsuperscript{21}

Previous studies applied the centrifugation and membrane filtration in parallel to remove the contaminants of inclusion bodies. Although 45% to 55% purity is done in this method via removing cloned gene product, outer membrane vesicle is present, yet.\textsuperscript{22} The homogenisation of expressing bacteria and the size of cell debris are momentous in this method because of possible separations of this impurity with inclusion bodies.\textsuperscript{20,21} In addition, the presence of protease along with inclusion bodies is another disadvantage of centrifugation.\textsuperscript{24,25} Unlike to centrifugation, membrane microfiltration is not a density based method and the impurity with cell debris was not observed. The application of membrane with the pore size of 0.45 mm resulted in 46% purity which is lower than centrifugation.\textsuperscript{26}

Goldberg et al reported that the diluted protein mixture with low concentration is appropriate to refold efficiently. The refolded efficiency depends on concentration but is not much in the concentration of 1 mg/mL.\textsuperscript{27}

Dialysis is a common method to eliminate impurity and remaining reagents from previous steps of protein purification. Concentrating and losing of denatured recombinant proteins through leaking from membranes are the 2 disadvantages of dialysis.\textsuperscript{28} The diminishing effects of impurity on the refolding of recombinant proteins is approved but regardless to the type of contaminants, the presence of these compounds led to increase protein aggregation.\textsuperscript{17}

The main aim of refolding process is to obtain a high quantity of bioactive product at low cost. As described above, protein aggregation in the refolding procedure is the major factor to effect on the amount of bioactive protein. Thus, designing an easy protocol is necessary for this purpose. Unfolded protein causes to generate aggregated protein but folded intermediate is less involved in this process. The preparation of good conditions for forming secondary structure of inclusion bodies during the solubilization step is momentous to decrease aggregation. Ionic and hydrophobic interactions are the 2 major factors to induce aggregation, while the presence of urea at the low concentration or the change of pH, particularly alkaline pH, in the solubilization step help to restore the secondary structures of inclusion bodies following with a better refolding of protein along with high levels of bioactivity. The refolding of oligomeric recombinant proteins from inclusions is more complicated and difficult compared to the single chain proteins. For the oligomeric proteins, it is obligate to firstly refold and form bioactive monomers and then, the fully bioactive oligomeric proteins generate.\textsuperscript{29,31} In addition, huge aggregations occur for refolding of oligomeric proteins specially owning to intermolecular interactions. Therefore, using the mild process to solubilize the inclusion body and also select the protocol to dilute the concentration of proteins and remove impurity could be helpful to decrease the aggregation and enhance the yield of bioactive recombinant proteins.\textsuperscript{32}

Conclusions
The current study has introduced a modified method from the combination of previous methods, dilution and dialysis. In this method, dilution reduced both the impurity and concentration of recombinant proteins, both factors inducing aggregation. In addition, in the low concentration of Urea dialysis causes the prevention of rapid and inappropriate refolding along with removing contaminants. Thus, this event obtains the high yield of refolded and bioactive proteins in comparison with other tested procedures.
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